



# Certain Properties of an Operator Involving the Generalized Hypergeometric Functions

Khadeejah R. Alhindi, and Maslina Darus\*

School of Mathematical Sciences, Faculty of Science and Technology,  
 Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, 43600 UKM,  
 Selangor Darul Ehsan, Malaysia

**Abstract:** In this work, based on the generalized derivative operator  $K_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^m(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s)f(z)$  and by making use of the notion of subordination, two new subclasses of functions are derived. With regards to these two subclasses, some properties are discussed briefly.

**Keywords:** Analytic function, Hadamard product, differential operator, subordination, coefficient estimate.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Historically, we were informed that John Wallis was the first ever mathematician who used hypergeometric functions and this can be found in his book entitled "Arithmetica Infinitorum" [1]. Euler also found to be in the lists of those who used hypergeometric functions as mentioned in the book entitled "Theory of hypergeometric functions" [2]. However, the first full systematic treatment was given by Carl Friedrich Gauss, and thereafter by Ernst Kummer [3]. The fundamental characterization was addressed by Bernhard Riemann for solving hypergeometric function by means of differential equation where it satisfied [4]. The importance of the hypergeometric theory is stemmed from its applications in many subjects such as, numerical analysis, dynamical system and mathematical physics.

**Definition 1.1** [11]: Denote by  $A$  the class of analytic functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{n=\infty} a_n z^n; \quad z \in (U = \{z \in C : |z| < 1\}) \quad (1)$$

and  $\mathcal{S}$  the subclass of  $A$  consisting of univalent functions, and  $S(\alpha)$ , ( $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ ) denotes the subclasse of  $A$  consisting of functions that are

starlike of order  $\alpha$  in  $U$ .

**Definition 1.2** [10]: For two analytic functions  $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{n=\infty} a_n z^n$  and  $g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{n=\infty} b_n z^n$  in the open unit disk  $U = \{z \in C : |z| < 1\}$ . The Hadamard product (or convolution)  $f * g$  of  $f$  and  $g$  is defined by

$$f(z) * g(z) = (f * g)(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{n=\infty} a_n b_n z^n. \quad (2)$$

**Definition 1.3** [11]: Let  $p(z)$  and  $q(z)$  be analytic in  $U$ . Then the function  $p(z)$  is said to be subordinate to  $q(z)$  in  $U$ , written by

$$p(z) \prec q(z); \quad (z \in U), \quad (3)$$

if there exists a function  $w(z)$  which is analytic in  $U$  with  $w(0) = 0$  and  $|w(z)| < 1$  with  $z \in U$ , and such that  $p(z) = q(w(z))$  for  $z \in U$ . From the definition of the subordinations, it is easy to show that the subordination (3) implies that

$$p(0) = q(0) \quad \text{and} \quad p(U) \subset q(U) \quad (4)$$

For complex parameters  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ , and  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_s$  ( $\beta_j \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots; j = 1 \dots s$ ), Dziok and

Srivastava [5] defined the generalized hypergeometric function  ${}_rF_s(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s; z)$  by

$${}_rF_s(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s; z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_1)_n \dots (\alpha_r)_n}{(\beta_1)_n \dots (\beta_s)_n} \frac{z^n}{n!};$$

$$(r \leq s+1; r, s \in \mathbb{N}_0; z \in U), \quad (5)$$

where  $(x)_n$  is the Pochhammer symbol defined, in terms of Gamma function  $\Gamma$ , by

$$(x)_n = \frac{\Gamma(x+n)}{\Gamma(x)} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n=0, \\ x(x+1)\dots(x+n-1) & \text{if } n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

Dziok and Srivastava [5] defined also the linear operator

$$H(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s)f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \Gamma_n a_n z^n, \quad (7)$$

where

$$\Gamma_n = \frac{(\alpha_1)_{n-1} \dots (\alpha_r)_{n-1}}{(\beta_1)_{n-1} \dots (\beta_s)_{n-1} (n-1)!}. \quad (8)$$

Abbadi and Darus [6] defined the analytic function

$$\Phi_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^m = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(1 + \lambda_1(n-1))^{m-1}}{(1 + \lambda_2(n-1))^m} z^n, \quad (9)$$

where  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  and  $\lambda_2 \geq \lambda_1 \geq 0$ .

Using the Hadamard product (2), Alhindi and Darus [8, 9] has derived the generalized derivative operator  $K_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^m(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s)$  as follows

$$\varphi_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^m(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s)f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(1 + \lambda_1(n-1))^{m-1}}{(1 + \lambda_2(n-1))^m} \Gamma_n a_n z^n, \quad (10)$$

where  $\Gamma_n$  is as given in (8).

Now, after some calculations we obtain the following equation:

$$z(K_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^m(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s)f(z))' = \alpha_1 K_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^m(\alpha_1 + 1, \dots, \alpha_r; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s)f(z) - \alpha_1 K_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^m(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s)f(z). \quad (11)$$

The linear operator  $\mathcal{K}_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^m(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s)$

includes many other operators which were mentioned earlier in [8, 9].

If we recall the generalized Bernardi-Libera-Livingston integral operator  $j_c : A \rightarrow A$  (see [13, 14, 15]), defined by

$$j_c f(z) = \frac{c+1}{z^c} \int_0^z t^{c-1} f(t) dt; \quad (v > -1; f \in A).$$

One can easily observe that

$$j_c f(z) = K_{0, \lambda_2}^0(1+c, 1; c+2) \\ = K_{\lambda_1, 0}^1(1+c, 1; c+2) \\ = K_{0, 0}^2(1+c, 1; c+2).$$

Owa [16] introduced the fractional derivative operator by these definitions (see also [17]).

**Definition 1.4** [12]: The fractional integral operator of order  $\mu$  is defined, for a function  $f$ , by

$$D_z^{-\mu} f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\mu)} \int_0^z \frac{f(\eta)}{(z-\eta)^{1-\mu}} d\eta; \quad (\mu < 0), \quad (12)$$

where  $f(z)$  is an analytic function in a simply connected region of the  $z$ -plane containing the origin, and the multiplicity of  $(z-\eta)^{\mu-1}$  is removed by requiring  $\log(z-\eta)$  to be real when  $z-\eta > 0$ .

**Definition 1.5** [16]: The fractional derivative operator of order  $\mu$  is defined, for a function  $f$ , by

$$D_z^{\mu} f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\mu)} \frac{d}{dz} \int_0^z \frac{f(\eta)}{(z-\eta)^{\mu}} d\eta; \quad (0 \leq \mu < 1), \quad (13)$$

where  $f(z)$  is an analytic function in a simply connected region of the  $z$ -plane containing the origin, and the multiplicity of  $(z-\eta)^{-\mu}$  is removed same as the previous definition.

**Definition 1.6** [16]: Using the assumption of Definition 1.5, the fractional derivative of order  $n + \mu$  is defined, for a function  $f$ , by

$$D_z^{n+\mu} f(z) = \frac{d^n}{dz^n} D_z^{\mu} f(z); \quad (0 \leq \mu < 1; n \in \mathbb{N}_0), \quad (14)$$

Srivastava and Owa [18] (see also [19-22]) used

these definitions of fractional calculus to define the linear operator  $\Omega^\mu : A \rightarrow A$  as follows

$$\Omega^\mu f(z) = \Gamma(2-\mu)z^\mu D_z^\mu f(z); \quad (\mu \neq 2, 3, 4, \dots; f \in A). \quad (15)$$

By some calculations, we can find that

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^\mu f(z) &= K_{0, \lambda_2}^0(2, 1; 2-\mu) \\ &= K_{\lambda_1, 0}^1(2, 1; 2-\mu) \\ &= K_{0, 0}^2(2, 1; 2-\mu). \end{aligned}$$

Kim and Srivastava [23] investigated the class of functions  $f \in A$  such that  $\mathcal{L}(a, c)f(z) \in S^*(\alpha)$ ,

$$a \frac{\ell(a+1, c)f(z)}{\ell(a, c)f(z)} + 1 - a \prec \frac{1+(1-2\alpha)z}{1-z}. \quad (16)$$

After that, Dziok and Srivastava [5] introduced the class  $V(r, s; A, B)$  of function  $f$  with some conditions, and studied its properties.

## 2. THE NEW CLASS $W_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^m(r, s; A, B)$

Let us denote by  $W_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^m(r, s; A, B)$  the class of functions  $f$  of the form

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n; \quad (a_n \geq 0; n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}). \quad (17)$$

with the normalization

$$f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0, \quad (18)$$

which also satisfy the following condition:

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha_1 \frac{K_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^m(\alpha_1 + 1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_r; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s)f(z)}{K_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^m(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s)f(z)} \\ & + 1 - \alpha_1 \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

in terms of subordination, where  $0 \leq B \leq -1$  and  $-B \leq A < B$ .

In this section, the coefficient estimate for the new class  $W_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^m(r, s; A, B)$  is investigated. For this purpose, two lemmas are listed. Going back to (11), for a function of the form (17) and by

considering  $A = 1, B = -1$ , one can notice that the condition (19) is equivalent to

$$K_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^m(\alpha_1 + 1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_r; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s)f(z) \in S(0). \quad (20)$$

Thus we can get the following Lemma.

**Lemma 2.1** If  $\alpha_j = \beta_j (j = 1, \dots, s)$  then  $W_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^m(s; 1, -1) \subset S(0)$ .

By the definition of the class  $W_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^m(r, s; A, B)$ , we can get the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.2** If  $A_1 \leq A_2$  and  $B_1 \geq B_2$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^m(r, s; A_1, B_1) &\subset W_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^m(r, s; A_2, B_2) \subset W_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^m(r, s; 1, -1). \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

**Theorem 2.3** Let  $f$  of the form (17), then  $f \in W_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^m(r, s; A, B)$  if and only if

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} ((B+1)n - (A+1)) \frac{(1 + \lambda_1(n-1))^{m-1}}{(1 + \lambda_2(n-1))^m} \Gamma_n a_n \leq (B-A), \quad (22)$$

where  $\Gamma_n$  is defined by (8).

**Proof.** Firstly, Let a function  $f$  be of the form (17) belongs to the class  $W_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^m(r, s; A, B)$ . Using the definition of subordination and by equation (19), we can write

$$\alpha_1 \frac{K_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^m(\alpha_1 + 1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_r; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s)f(z)}{K_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^m(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s)f(z)} + 1 - \alpha_1 = \frac{1 + Aw(z)}{1 + Bw(z)}.$$

After some calculation, and by consider that  $w(0) = 0$  and  $|w(z)| < 1$  we can write

$$\left| \frac{\alpha_1 \{K_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^{m, r, s}(\alpha_1 + 1)f(z) - K_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^{m, r, s}(\alpha_1)f(z)\}}{\alpha_1 BK_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^{m, r, s}(\alpha_1 + 1)f(z) - (A + (\alpha_1 - 1)B)K_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^{m, r, s}(\alpha_1)f(z)} \right| < 1, \quad (23)$$

where, for convenience, we write

$$K_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^{m, r, s}(\alpha_1)f(z) = K_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^m(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_r; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s)f(z),$$

and

$$K_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^{m, r, s}(\alpha_1 + 1)f(z) = K_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^m(\alpha_1 + 1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_r; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s)f(z).$$

Thus, by equation (13), one can write

$$\left| \frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-1) \frac{(1+\lambda_1(n-1))^{m-1}}{(1+\lambda_2(n-1))^m} \Gamma_n a_n z^{n-1}}{(B-A) - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (Bn-A) \frac{(1+\lambda_1(n-1))^{m-1}}{(1+\lambda_2(n-1))^m} \Gamma_n a_n z^{n-1}} \right| < 1; \quad (z \in U),$$

where  $\Gamma_n$  is defined by (8). If we put  $z = r$  for  $0 \leq r < 1$ , we conclude that

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-1) \frac{(1+\lambda_1(n-1))^{m-1}}{(1+\lambda_2(n-1))^m} \Gamma_n a_n r^{n-1} < (B-A) - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (Bn-A) \frac{(1+\lambda_1(n-1))^{m-1}}{(1+\lambda_2(n-1))^m} \Gamma_n a_n r^{n-1}$$

which yields the assertion (22) by letting  $r \rightarrow 1$ .

Secondly, if the function  $f$  is of the form (17) and satisfying the condition (22). Then, we are supposed to prove that  $f \in W_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^m(r, s; A, B)$ .

Using the relation (23), then it is sufficient to prove that

$$\left| \alpha_1 \left\{ K_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^{m, r, s}(\alpha_1 + 1) f(z) - K_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^{m, r, s}(\alpha_1) f(z) \right\} \right| - \left| \alpha_1 B K_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^{m, r, s}(\alpha_1 + 1) f(z) - (A + (\alpha_1 - 1)B) K_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^{m, r, s}(\alpha_1) f(z) \right|. \quad (24)$$

If we put  $|z| = r$  for  $0 \leq r < 1$ , then we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \alpha_1 \left\{ K_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^{m, r, s}(\alpha_1 + 1) f(z) - K_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^{m, r, s}(\alpha_1) f(z) \right\} \right| - \\ & \left| \alpha_1 B K_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^{m, r, s}(\alpha_1 + 1) f(z) - (A + (\alpha_1 - 1)B) K_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^{m, r, s}(\alpha_1) f(z) \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-1) \frac{(1+\lambda_1(n-1))^{m-1}}{(1+\lambda_2(n-1))^m} \Gamma_n a_n z^n \right| - \\ & \left| (A-B) - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (Bn-A) \frac{(1+\lambda_1(n-1))^{m-1}}{(1+\lambda_2(n-1))^m} \Gamma_n a_n z^n \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-1) \frac{(1+\lambda_1(n-1))^{m-1}}{(1+\lambda_2(n-1))^m} \Gamma_n a_n r^n \\ & - \left( (A-B) - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (Bn-A) \frac{(1+\lambda_1(n-1))^{m-1}}{(1+\lambda_2(n-1))^m} \Gamma_n a_n r^n \right) \\ &= r \left( \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} ((B+1)n - (A+1)) \frac{(1+\lambda_1(n-1))^{m-1}}{(1+\lambda_2(n-1))^m} \Gamma_n r^{n-1} - (B-A) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$< \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} ((B+1)n - (A+1)) \frac{(1+\lambda_1(n-1))^{m-1}}{(1+\lambda_2(n-1))^m} \Gamma_n - (B-A) \leq 0. \quad (25)$$

Thus,  $f \in W_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^m(r, s; A, B)$  and the proof is complete.

Based on Theorem 2.3, the following corollary can be derived.

**Corollary 2.4** If a function  $f$  is of the form (17) and  $f \in W_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^m(r, s; A, B)$ , then we can write

$$a_n \leq \frac{(B-A)}{C_n}; \quad (n = 2, 3, 4, \dots),$$

where

$$C_n = ((B+1)n - (A+1)) \frac{(1+\lambda_1(n-1))^{m-1}}{(1+\lambda_2(n-1))^m} \Gamma_n; \quad (n = 2, 3, 4, \dots).$$

The result is sharp, the functions  $f_n$  of the form:

$$f_n(z) = z - \frac{A-B}{C_n} z^n; \quad (n = 2, 3, 4, \dots),$$

are the extremal functions.

### 3. THE NEW CLASS $S^*(A, B)$

In this section, a new subclass  $S^*(A, B)$  of analytic functions satisfying the following condition is defined.

Let  $f \in A$ , then  $f \in S^*(A, B)$  if and only if

$$\frac{z \left[ K_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^m(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s) f(z) \right]'}{K_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^m(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s) f(z)} < \frac{1+Az}{1-Bz}; \quad (26)$$

where  $0 \leq A \leq 1$  and  $0 \leq B \leq 1$ .

In the proceeding theorem we will study the sufficient condition for functions  $f$  to be in the class  $S^*(A, B)$ , by applying the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1** [24] Let  $w(z)$  be analytic in  $U$  with  $w(0) = 0$ . If  $|w(z)|$  attains its maximum value on the circle  $|z| = r < 1$  at a point  $z_0$ , then

$$z_0 w'(z_0) = k w(z_0),$$

where  $k$  is a real number and  $k \geq 1$ .

**Theorem 3.2** Suppose  $f \in A$  which satisfying

$$\Re \left( 1 + \frac{z \left[ K_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^m (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s) f(z) \right]''}{\left[ K_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^m (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s) f(z) \right]'} \right) < \frac{(1+A)^2 + (A+B)}{(1+A)(1-B)}; \quad (z \in U), \tag{27}$$

for some  $0 \leq A \leq 1$  and  $0 \leq B \leq 1$ , then  $f \in S^*(A, B)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $w(z)$  is defined by

$$\frac{z \left[ K_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^m (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s) f(z) \right]'}{K_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^m (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s) f(z)} = \frac{1 + Aw(z)}{1 - Bw(z)}; \quad (Bw(z) \neq 1).$$

It follows that  $w(0) = 0$ . Moreover,  $w(z)$  is analytic and after some calculations we can write

$$1 + \frac{z \left[ K_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^m (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s) f(z) \right]''}{\left[ K_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^m (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s) f(z) \right]'} = \frac{(1 + Aw(z))^2 + zw'(z)(A+B)}{(1 - Bw(z))(1 + Aw(z))}.$$

Thus

$$\Re \left( 1 + \frac{z \left[ K_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^m (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s) f(z) \right]''}{\left[ K_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^m (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s) f(z) \right]'} \right) = \Re \left( \frac{(1 + Aw(z))^2 + zw'(z)(A+B)}{(1 - Bw(z))(1 + Aw(z))} \right) < \frac{(1+A)^2 + (A+B)}{(1+A)(1-B)}.$$

Next, we prove that  $|w(z)| < 1$ . Suppose that there exists a point  $z_0 \in U$  such that

$$\max_{|z| \leq |z_0|} |w(z)| = |w(z_0)| = 1.$$

Suppose  $w(z_0) = e^{i\theta}$  and  $z_0 w'(z_0) = ke^{i\theta}; k \geq 1$ , then by applying Lemma 3.1 we can get

$$\begin{aligned} & \Re \left( 1 + \frac{z \left[ K_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^m (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s) f(z) \right]''}{\left[ K_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^m (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s) f(z) \right]'} \right) \\ &= \Re \left( \frac{(1 + Aw(z_0))^2 + z_0 w'(z_0)(A+B)}{(1 + Aw(z_0))(1 - Bw(z_0))} \right) \\ &= \Re \left( \frac{(1 + Ae^{i\theta})^2 + ke^{i\theta}(A+B)}{(1 + Ae^{i\theta})(1 - Be^{i\theta})} \right) \\ &= \Re \left( \frac{(1+A)^2 + k(A+B)}{(1+A)(1-B)} \right) \geq \frac{(1+A)^2 + (A+B)}{(1+A)(1-B)}. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that

$$\Re \left( 1 + \frac{z \left[ K_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^m (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s) f(z) \right]''}{\left[ K_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^m (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s) f(z) \right]'} \right) \geq \frac{(1+A)^2 + (A+B)}{(1+A)(1-B)}; \quad (z \in U),$$

which contradicts our assumption. Therefore, we can obtain that  $|w(z)| < 1$  for all  $(z \in U)$  implies

$$\frac{z \left[ K_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^m (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s) f(z) \right]'}{K_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^m (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s) f(z)} < \frac{1 + Az}{1 - Bz};$$

where  $0 \leq A \leq 1$  and  $0 \leq B \leq 1$ . Thus, the proof is complete.

**Corollary 3.3** Suppose that  $f \in S^*(A, 0)$  then we can write

$$\left| \frac{z \left[ K_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^m (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s) f(z) \right]'}{K_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^m (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s) f(z)} \right| - 1 < A.$$

Putting  $A = 1$  implies that  $K_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^m (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s)$  is starlike.

#### 4. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, two new subclasses

$W_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^m(r, s; A, B)$  and  $S^*(A, B)$  were introduced involving the operator  $K_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^m(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s)$ . Moreover, by considering the subordination notion, certain properties of the two subclasses were investigated.

### 5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The above study was supported by UKM's grant: AP-2013-009 and DIP-2013-001.

### 6. REFERENCES

1. Stedall, J. A. *The Arithmetic of Infinitesimals: John Wallis 1656*. New York. (2004).
2. Aomoto, K. et al. *Theory of hypergeometric functions*. Springer, Berlin (2011).
3. Kummer, E. E. "Über die hypergeometrische Reihe....(Fortsetzung)." *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik* 15: 127-172 (1836).
4. Riemann, B. *Theorie der Abel'schen functionen*. Georg Reimer, (1857).
5. Dziok, J. & H.M. Srivastava. Classes of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function. *Applied Mathematics and Computation* 103: 1-13 (1999).
6. Al-Abadi, M.H. & M. Darus. Differential subordination for new generalized derivative operator. *Acta Universitatis Apulensis* 20: 265-280 (2009).
7. Hohlov, Yu.E. Operators and operations in the class of univalent functions. *Izvestiya Vysshikh Uchebnykh Zavedenii Matematik* 10: 83-89 (1978).
8. Alhindi, K.R. & M. Darus. Certain properties for a class of analytic functions associated with hypergeometric functions. *Revue D'analyse Numerique Et De Th' Eorie De L'approximation'* 43(2): 93-102 (2014).
9. Alhindi, K.R. & M. Darus. New class of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric functions. *Acta Universitatis Apulensis* 37: 83-91 (2014).
10. Srivastava, H.M. & S. Owa. *Univalent Functions, Fractional Calculus, and Their Applications*. Ellis Horwood, New York, USA (1989).
11. Goodman, A.W. *Univalent functions*. Vol. 2. Mariner Publishing Company (1983).
12. Duren, P.L. *Univalent Functions*. Springer-Verlag, New York, USA (1983).
13. Bernardi, S.D. Convex and starlike univalent functions. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* 135: 429-446 (1969).
14. Libera, R.J. Some classes of regular univalent functions. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* 16: 755-758 (1965).
15. Livingston, A.E. On the radius of univalence of certain analytic functions. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* 17: 352-357 (1966).
16. Owa, S. On the distortion theorems. *Kyungpook Mathematical Journal* 18: 53-59 (1978).
17. Srivastava, H.M. & S. Owa (Ed.). *Univalent Functions, Fractional Calculus, and Their Applications*. Wiley, New York, USA (1989).
18. Srivastava, H.M. & S. Owa. Some characterization and distortion theorems involving fractional calculus, generalized hypergeometric functions, Hadamard products, linear operators, and certain subclasses of analytic functions. *Nagoya Mathematical Journal* 106: 1-28 (1987).
19. Srivastava, H.M. & M.K. Aouf. A certain fractional derivative operator and its applications to a new class of analytic and multivalent functions with negative coefficients. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 171(1): 1-13 (1992).
20. Srivastava, H.M. & M.K. Aouf. A certain fractional derivative operator and its applications to a new class of analytic and multivalent functions with negative coefficients, II. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 192: 673-688 (1995).
21. Srivastava, H.M. & S. Owa (Ed.). *Current Topics in Analytic Function Theory*. World Scientific, Singapore (1992).
22. Dziok, J. Classes of analytic functions involving some integral operator. *Folia Fac Sci Nat Univ Purkyn Brun. Tech. Resoviensis* 20: 21-39 (1995).
23. Kim, Y.C. & H.M. Srivastava. Fractional integral and other linear operators associated with the Gaussian hypergeometric function. *Complex Variables, Theory and Application* 34: 293-312 (1997).
24. Jack, I.S. Functions starlike and convex of order  $K$ . *Journal of the London Mathematical Society* 3: 469-474 (1971).